

Quantum Lie systems

and integrability conditions

José F. Cariñena[†] and Javier de Lucas^{†‡}

[†]Departamento de Física Teórica and IUMA, Universidad de Zaragoza,
50009 Zaragoza, Spain.

[‡]Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Science,
P.O. Box 00-956, Warszawa, Poland.

Abstract

The theory of Lie systems has recently been applied to Quantum Mechanics and additionally some integrability conditions for Lie systems of differential equations have also recently been analysed from a geometric perspective. In this paper we use both developments to obtain a geometric theory of integrability in Quantum Mechanics and we use it to provide a series of non-trivial integrable quantum mechanical models and to recover some known results from our unifying point of view.

1 Introduction.

Some recent papers have been devoted to apply the theory of Lie systems [1, 2, 3, 4] to Quantum Mechanics [5, 6]. As a result it has been proved that such a the theory can be used to treat some types of Schrödinger equations, the so-called quantum Lie systems, to obtain exact solutions, t -evolution operators, etc. One of the fundamental properties found is that quantum Lie systems can be investigated by means of equations in a Lie group. Through this equation we can analyse the properties of the associated Schrödinger equation, i.e. the type of the associated Lie group allows us to know whether a Schrödinger equation can be integrated [5].

There are many papers devoted to study integrability of Lie systems, for instance the particular case of Riccati equations [7, 8]. It has been shown in

these papers that integrability conditions for Lie systems, in the particular case of Riccati equations, appear as related to some transformation properties of the associated equations in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. It was also shown in a recent work [9] that the same procedure used to investigate Riccati equations can be applied to deal with any Lie system.

In the case of a quantum Lie system, the corresponding Schrödinger equation can be associated with an equation in a Lie group [5]. The transformation properties of such an equation were investigated in the theory of integrability of Lie systems and they can be used in a quantum Lie system to study integrability conditions. All the results obtained in [9] can be straightforwardly translated to the quantum framework and some non-trivial integrable models can be obtained. The aim of this paper is to show how we can apply the theory of integrability of Lie systems to quantum Lie systems and to give some applications.

The practical importance of this method is to be emphasised. It enables dealing with non-trivially integrable t -dependent Schrödinger equations. This fact allows us to investigate physical models by means of non-trivial exact solutions. It also provides a procedure to avoid numerical methods to study certain Schrödinger equations because when our methods can be applied, numerical methods are frequently not necessary and exact results can be used to test the efficiency and accuracy of different approximation methods.

More specifically, in this paper we treat t -dependent spin Hamiltonians. This kind of Hamiltonians appear broadly in Physics [10, 11, 12, 13, 14] and, in particular, in the application of the adiabatic approximation to the study of Berry phases [15, 16, 17, 18]. In this topic, some exact solutions of certain non-trivial t -dependent spin Hamiltonians have been lately used to calculate geometric phases exactly and through the adiabatic approximation, getting in this way a method to check out the validity of such an approximation and solving some possible inconsistencies that have been lately pointed out. Furthermore, some works have recently been devoted to provide new integrable t -dependent spin Hamiltonians [19, 20, 21]. In this paper, we explain why some of the t -dependent Hamiltonians appearing in all these works are integrable and we provide a method to get new integrable Hamiltonians in this and any other field where quantum Lie systems appear.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to review some properties of the Differential Geometry of infinite-dimensional manifolds in order to analyse in Section 3 the theory of Lie systems and

Quantum Mechanics. Section 4 describes the spin Hamiltonian which appears broadly in many fields in Physics and which gives rise to the usually known as Schrödinger–Pauli equation [22] and we show in this Section that the Schrödinger equation corresponding to this Hamiltonian is a quantum Lie system. In Sections 5 and 6 the theory of integrability conditions developed in [8, 9] is applied to the Schrödinger equations studied in Section 4. In Section 7 we obtain some integrability conditions and in Section 8 some applications to Physics are given.

2 Differential geometry in Hilbert spaces.

In order to provide the basic knowledge to develop the main results of the paper, in this and next section we report some known results on the Differential Geometry in infinite-dimensional manifolds and quantum Lie systems. We also detail some results about quantum Lie systems which were not fully explained in previous works. For further details one can consult [5, 6, 23].

As far as Quantum Mechanics is concerned, the separable complex Hilbert space of states $\mathcal{H}$ can be seen as a (infinite-dimensional) real manifold admitting a global chart [24]. Infinite-dimensional manifolds do not admit many of the classical results of the geometric theory of finite-dimensional manifolds, e.g. in the most general case and given an open $U \subset \mathcal{H}$, there is not a one-to-one correspondence between derivations on $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ and sections of the tangent bundle TU . Therefore, some explanations must be done before dealing with such manifolds.

On one hand, given a point $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, a *kinematic tangent vector* with foot point ϕ is a pair (ϕ, ψ) with $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. We call $T_\phi \mathcal{H}$ the space of all kinematic tangent vectors with foot point ϕ . It consists of all derivatives $\dot{c}(0)$ at ϕ of smooth curves $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with $c(0) = \phi$. This fact explains the name of kinematic.

From the concept of kinematic tangent vector we can provide the definition of smooth kinematic vector fields as follows: A *smooth kinematic vector field* is an element $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{H}) \equiv \Gamma(\pi)$, with $T\mathcal{H}$ the so-called *kinematic tangent bundle* and $\pi : T\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ the projection of this bundle. In another way, we define a *kinematic vector field* X as a map $X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow T\mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi \circ X = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Given a $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ we will denote from now on $X(\psi) = (\psi, X_\psi)$ with X_ψ the value of X in $T_\psi \mathcal{H}$.

In similarity with the Differential Geometry in finite-dimensional manifolds, we say that a kinematic vector field X on $\mathcal{H}$ has a local flow on an open subset $U \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists a map $Fl^X : \mathbb{R} \times U \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $Fl(0, \psi) = \psi$ for all $\psi \in U$ and

$$X_\psi = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} Fl^X(t, \psi) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} Fl_t^X(\psi),$$

with $Fl_t^X(\psi) = Fl^X(t, \psi)$.

The definition of the Lie bracket at a point in a infinite dimensional manifold for two kinematic vector fields X, Y admitting local flows Fl_t^X and Fl_t^Y coincides with the known formula used in finite-dimensional Differential Geometry and it reads

$$[[X, Y]]_\psi = \left. \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} (Fl_{-t}^Y \circ Fl_{-t}^X \circ Fl_t^Y \circ Fl_t^X(\psi)). \quad (1)$$

Let us use all these mathematical concepts to study Quantum Mechanics as a geometric theory. Note that the Abelian translation group on $\mathcal{H}$ provides us with an identification of the tangent space $T_\phi \mathcal{H}$ at any point $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{H}$ itself. Furthermore, through such an identification of $\mathcal{H}$ with $T_\phi \mathcal{H}$ at any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ a continuous kinematic vector field is just a continuous map $X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$,

Starting with a bounded $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator A on $\mathcal{H}$, we can define the kinematic vector field X^A by

$$X_\psi^A = A\psi \in \mathcal{H} \simeq T_\psi \mathcal{H}.$$

Usually, operators in Quantum Mechanics are neither continuous nor defined on the whole space $\mathcal{H}$. The most relevant case is when A is a skew-self-adjoint operator of the form $A = -iH$. The reason is that $\mathcal{H}$ can be endowed with a natural (strongly) symplectic structure, and then such skew-self-adjoint operators are singled out as the linear vector fields that are Hamiltonian. The integral curves of such a Hamiltonian vector field X^A are the solutions of the corresponding Schrödinger equation [5, 24]. Even when A is not bounded, if A is skew-self-adjoint it must be densely defined and, by Stone's Theorem, its integral curves are strongly continuous and defined in all $\mathcal{H}$.

Additionally, these kinematic vector fields related to skew-self-adjoint operators admit local flows, i.e. any skew-self-adjoint operator A has a local flow

$$Fl_t^A(\psi) = \exp(tA)(\psi) \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{d}{dt} Fl_t^A(\psi) = A \exp(tA)(\psi) = A(Fl_t^A(\psi)). \quad (2)$$

We remark that given two constants $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and skew-self-adjoint operators A and B we get that $X^{\lambda A + \mu B} = \lambda X^A + \mu X^B$. Moreover, skew-self-adjoint operators considered as vector fields are fundamental vector fields relative to the usual action of the unitary group $U(\mathcal{H})$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Let us now investigate the Lie bracket of two kinematic vector fields X^A and X^B associated with skew-self-adjoint operators A and B . Indeed, taking into account (1) and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [[X^A, X^B]]_\psi &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \exp(-tB) \exp(-tA) \exp(tB) \exp(tA)(\psi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \left(\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-tB)^{n_1}}{n_1!} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-tA)^{n_2}}{n_2!} \right) \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tB)^{n_3}}{n_3!} \right) \left(\sum_{n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^{n_4}}{n_4!} \right) (\psi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} (-t^2 AB + t^2 BA)(\psi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} (t^2 [B, A])(\psi) = [B, A](\psi), \end{aligned}$$

from where we obtain that

$$[[X^A, X^B]] = -X^{[A, B]}. \quad (3)$$

3 Quantum Lie systems.

Now the theory of Lie systems applies to the case in which a t -dependent Hamiltonian can be written as a linear combination with t -dependent real coefficients of some self-adjoint operators,

$$H(t) = \sum_{k=1}^r b_k(t) H_k, \quad (4)$$

where the operators iH_k close on a real finite-dimensional Lie algebra V under the commutator of operators, i.e.

$$[iH_j, iH_k] = \sum_{l=1}^r c_{jkl} iH_l, \quad c_{jkl} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, r. \quad (5)$$

We call V a *quantum Vessiot-Guldberg Lie algebra* and we say that $H(t)$ is a *quantum Lie system*.

A quantum Lie system $H(t)$ determines a Schrödinger equation of the form

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = -iH(t)\psi = -\sum_{k=1}^r b_k(t)iH_k\psi, \quad (6)$$

describing the integral curves for the t -dependent kinematic vector field on $\mathcal{H}$ given by

$$X(t) = \sum_{k=1}^r b_k(t)X_k,$$

with X_k the vector fields associated with the skew-self-adjoint operators $-iH_k$. In view of the relation (3) and the commutation relations (5), we obtain

$$[[X_j, X_k]] = \sum_{l=1}^r c_{jkl}X_l, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, r, \quad (7)$$

and we can get the constants of structure of the vector fields X_k by means of the commutators of the operators iH_k . The linear combinations of the vector fields X_k , with $k = 1, \dots, r$, span a real finite dimensional Lie algebra isomorphic to V . On one hand, whether $\mathcal{H}$ is a finite-dimensional manifold the Schrödinger equation (6) is a Lie system. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{H}$ were an infinite-dimensional manifold, such a Schrödinger equation is the infinite-dimensional analogue to a Lie system.

Now we can choose a basis $\{a_k \mid k = 1, \dots, r\}$ of an abstract Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ isomorphic to V such that the Lie brackets of the elements a_k of this Lie algebra, denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]$, satisfy

$$[a_j, a_k] = \sum_{l=1}^r c_{jkl}a_l, \quad c_{jkl} \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8)$$

In this case one can show that there exists an unitary action $\Phi : G \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of a Lie group $G \subset U(\mathcal{H})$ with algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that

the elements of the basis $\{a_k \mid k = 1, \dots, r\}$ satisfying (8) hold

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Phi(\exp(-ta_k), \psi) = (X_k)_\psi,$$

i.e. the fundamental vector field associated with a_k is the kinematic vector field X_k related to the skew-self-adjoint operator $-iH_k$.

Then, solving the Schrödinger equation for the quantum Lie system $H(t)$ reduces to solving an equation in G given by

$$R_{g^{-1}*}\dot{g} = - \sum_{k=1}^r b_k(t)a_k \equiv a(t), \quad g(0) = e.$$

Once that this equation has been solved the solution of the Schrödinger equation with initial condition $\psi(0)$ is $\psi(t) = \Phi(g(t), \psi(0))$, see [5].

4 Spin Hamiltonians.

In this section we investigate a particular quantum mechanical system whose dynamics is given by the Schrödinger–Pauli equation [22]. We first prove that this Hamiltonian is a quantum Lie system and in a later Section we apply the theory of the integrability of Lie systems to such a system.

The system which we study is described by the t -dependent Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = B_x(t)S_x + B_y(t)S_y + B_z(t)S_z,$$

with S_x, S_y and S_z being the spin operators. Let us denote $S_1 = S_x$, $S_2 = S_y$ and $S_3 = S_z$, then the t -dependent Hamiltonian $H(t)$ is a quantum Lie system, because the spin operators are such that

$$[iS_j, iS_k] = - \sum_{l=1}^3 \epsilon_{jkl} iS_l, \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3, \quad (9)$$

with ϵ_{jkl} being the components of the fully skew-symmetric Levi-Civita tensor. The Schrödinger equation corresponding to this t -dependent Hamiltonian is

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = -iB_x(t)S_x(\psi) - iB_y(t)S_y(\psi) - iB_z(t)S_z(\psi), \quad (10)$$

that can be seen as the differential equation for the determination of the integral curves for the t -dependent vector field in the (maybe-infinite dimensional) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ given by

$$X(t) = B_x(t)X_1 + B_y(t)X_2 + B_z(t)X_3,$$

with

$$(X_1)_\psi = -iS_x(\psi), \quad (X_2)_\psi = -iS_y(\psi), \quad (X_3)_\psi = -iS_z(\psi).$$

The t -dependent vector field X can be written as a linear combination $X(t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k(t)X_k$ of the vector fields X_k with $b_1(t) = B_x(t)$, $b_2(t) = B_y(t)$ and $b_3(t) = B_z(t)$ and therefore our Schrödinger equation is a Lie system related to a quantum Vessiot-Guldberg Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.

A basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ such that their fundamental vector fields are the X_k is given by the following skew-selfadjoint 2×2 matrices

$$a_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_3 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

These matrices satisfy the commutation relations

$$[a_j, a_k] = - \sum_{l=1}^3 \epsilon_{jkl} a_l, \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3,$$

which are similar to (9). Hence, we can define an action $\Phi : SU(2) \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\Phi(\exp(c_k a_k), \psi) = \exp(c_k i H_k)(\psi), \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

for any real constants c_1, c_2 and c_3 . Moreover,

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Phi(\exp(-it a_k), \psi) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp(-it H_k)(\psi) = -i H_k(\psi) = (X_k)_\psi,$$

getting that X_k is the fundamental vector field associated with a_k . Thus, the equation in $SU(2)$ related by means of Φ to the Schrödinger equation (10) is

$$R_{g^{-1}*} \frac{dg}{dt} = - \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k(t) a_k \equiv a(t) \in \mathfrak{su}(2), \quad g(0) = e. \quad (12)$$

It was shown in [5] that the group $\mathcal{G}$ of curves in the group of a Lie system, in this case $\mathcal{G} = \text{Map}(\mathbb{R}, SU(2))$, acts on the set of Lie systems associated with an equation in the Lie group G in such a way that, in a similar way to what happened in [7], a curve $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{G}$ transforms the initial equation (12) into the new one characterised by the curve

$$a'(t) \equiv -\text{Ad}(\bar{g}) \left(\sum_{k=1}^3 b_k(t) a_k \right) + R_{\bar{g}^{-1} * \bar{g}} \frac{d\bar{g}}{dt} = - \sum_{k=1}^3 b'_k(t) a_k. \quad (13)$$

Once again this new equation is related to a new Schrödinger equation in $\mathcal{H}$ determined by a new Hamiltonian

$$H'(t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 b'_k(t) S_k.$$

Additionally, it can be seen [5] that the curve $\bar{g}(t)$ in $SU(2)$ induces a t -dependent unitary transformation $\bar{U}(t)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ transforming the initial t -dependent Hamiltonian $H(t)$ into $H'(t)$.

To sum up, the theory of Lie systems reduces the problem of solving Schrödinger equations related to spin Hamiltonians $H(t)$ to solve certain equations in the Lie group $SU(2)$. Then, the transformation properties of the equations in $SU(2)$ describe the transformation properties of $H(t)$ by means of certain t -dependent unitary transformations described by curves in $SU(2)$.

Note also that the theory here developed for spin Hamiltonians can be straightforwardly applied to any other quantum Lie system. The only difference is that other quantum Lie system $H(t)$ may be related to other Lie group G . Anyway, the procedure described before can be applied again changing $SU(2)$ by the new Lie group G .

5 Lie structure of an equation of transformation of Lie systems.

Our aim in this section is to prove that the curves in $SU(2)$ relating the equations defined by two curves $a(t)$ and $a'(t)$ in $T_I SU(2) \simeq \mathfrak{su}(2)$, respectively, can be found as solutions of a Lie system of differential equations.

Recall that the matrices of $SU(2)$ are of the form

$$\bar{g} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (14)$$

with $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ and the elements of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ are traceless skew-Hermitian matrices and therefore real linear combinations of the basis $\{a_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$. Then the transformation rule (13), which can be considered as an equation in $SU(2)$ for the curve $\bar{g}$ relating to equations in $SU(2)$ determined by the curves $a(t)$ and $a'(t)$ in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, becomes a matrix equation that can be written

$$\frac{d\bar{g}}{dt} \bar{g}^{-1} = - \sum_{k=1}^3 b'_k(t) a_k + \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k(t) \bar{g} a_k \bar{g}^{-1}. \quad (15)$$

Multiplying on the right by $\bar{g}$ both sides of this equation we get

$$\frac{d\bar{g}}{dt} = - \sum_{k=1}^3 b'_k(t) a_k \bar{g} + \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k(t) \bar{g} a_k. \quad (16)$$

Considering a reparametrisation of the t -dependent coefficients of $\bar{g}$

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= x_1(t) + i y_1(t), \\ b(t) &= x_2(t) + i y_2(t), \end{aligned}$$

for real functions x_j and y_j , with $j = 1, 2$, it is a straightforward computation to obtain that (16) is a linear system of differential equations in the new variables x_1, x_2, y_1 and y_2 that can be written as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{y}_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b'_2 - b_2 & -b_3 + b'_3 & -b_1 + b'_1 \\ b_2 - b'_2 & 0 & -b_1 - b'_1 & b_3 + b'_3 \\ b_3 - b'_3 & b'_1 + b_1 & 0 & -b_2 - b'_2 \\ b_1 - b'_1 & -b_3 - b'_3 & b_2 + b'_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

In order to describe the curves in $SU(2)$ relating two given Schrödinger equations (10) with different t -dependent coefficients as solutions of this system of differential equations we have to additionally impose that $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1$. Nevertheless, for the time being we can forget such a restriction because it is automatically implemented by means of a condition on the initial values of the variables x_1, x_2, y_1 and y_2 of the equation. Therefore we can

deal with the four variables in the preceding system of differential equations (17) as being independent ones. This linear system of differential equations is a Lie system associated with a Lie algebra of vector fields $\mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{R})$ but the solutions with initial condition related to a matrix in the subgroup $SU(2)$ always remain in such a subgroup. In fact, consider the set of vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right), \\ N_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right), \\ N_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right), \\ N'_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right), \\ N'_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right), \\ N'_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for which the non-zero commutation relations are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} [N_1, N_2] &= -N_3, & [N_2, N_3] &= -N_1, & [N_3, N_1] &= -N_2, \\ [N'_1, N'_2] &= -N'_3, & [N'_2, N'_3] &= -N'_1, & [N'_3, N'_1] &= -N'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $[N_j, N'_k] = 0$, for $j, k = 1, 2, 3$, and therefore the system of linear differential equations (17) is a Lie system in $\mathbb{R}^4$ associated with a Lie algebra of vector fields isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g} \equiv \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$, i.e. the Lie algebra decomposes into a direct sum of two Lie algebras isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, the first one is generated by $\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ and the second one by $\{N'_1, N'_2, N'_3\}$.

If we denote $x \equiv (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, the system (17) can be written as a system of differential equation in $\mathbb{R}^4$:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = N(t, x), \tag{18}$$

with $N(t)$ being the t -dependent vector field given by

$$N(t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 (b_k(t)N_k + b'_k(t)N'_k).$$

The family of vector fields $\{N_1, N_2, N_3, N'_1, N'_2, N'_3\}$ generate an involutive distribution of rank three in almost any point of $\mathbb{R}^4$ and consequently there exists, at least locally, a first-integral for all the vector fields (18). It can be verified that such a first-integral is globally defined and reads $I(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2$. Hence, given a solution $x(t)$ of the system (21) with $I(x(0)) = x_1(0)^2 + x_2(0)^2 + y_1(0)^2 + y_2(0)^2 = 1$, then $I(x(t)) = 1$ at any time t and the solution $x(t)$ can be related to a curve in $SU(2)$. Therefore, we have found that the curves in $SU(2)$ relating the two different curves associated with two Schrödinger equations as in (10) can be described by the solutions $x(t)$ of the system (18) with $I(x(0)) = 1$, and viceversa:

Theorem 1. *The curves in $SU(2)$ relating two equations in the group $SU(2)$ characterised by the curves in $T_1SU(2)$*

$$a'(t) = - \sum_{k=1}^3 b'_k(t) a_k, \quad a(t) = - \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k(t) a_k$$

are described by the solutions of the system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = N(t, x),$$

with

$$N(t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 (b_k(t) N_k + b'_k(t) N'_k),$$

and such that $I(x(0)) = 1$. This is a Lie system related to a Lie algebra of vector fields isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$.

As a consequence of the previous Theorem and the theorem of existence and uniqueness of solutions of differential equations, we get the next corollary.

Corollary 1. *Given two Schrödinger equations associated with curves $a'(t)$ and $a(t)$ in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ there always exists a curve in $SU(2)$ relating both systems.*

Even if we know that given two equations in the Lie group $SU(2)$ there exists always a transformation relating both which is a solution of the Lie system (18), in order to find such a curve one must explicitly solve this system of differential equations. This is a Lie system related to a non-solvable Lie algebra, then it is not easy to find its solutions in general, e.g. it is not integrable by quadratures.

From this viewpoint, it is clear the existence of integrability conditions that will be used in next Sections for obtaining exact solutions of some physical problems in Quantum Mechanics.

6 Integrability conditions for $SU(2)$ Schrödinger equations.

We start this section by reviewing some results about integrability conditions derived in [9] from the perspective of the theory of Lie systems. The results given there are a little bit different from those shown in this Section but the fundamental aspects remain the same. Indeed, the approach described along this Section can be applied to any Lie system in Classical and Quantum Mechanics. This fact clearly illustrates the importance of the method in order to obtain any kind of integrable Lie system.

As it was shown in the preceding Section, if the curve $\bar{g}(t) \subset SU(2)$ transforms the equation in this Lie group defined by the curve $a(t)$ into another one characterised by $a'(t)$ according to the rule (15) and $g'(t)$ is a solution for the equation characterised by $a'(t)$, then $g(t) = \bar{g}^{-1}(t)g'(t)$ is a solution for the equation in $SU(2)$ characterised by $a(t)$. Hence, the curve $\bar{g}(t)$ enables us to get the solution $g(t)$ of the equation in $SU(2)$ determined by $a(t)$ from the solution $g'(t)$ for the equation determined by $a'(t)$ and viceversa.

When $a'(t)$ lies in a solvable Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ we can obtain $g'(t)$ in many ways, e.g. by quadratures or by other methods as those used in [7]. Then, once $g'(t)$ is obtained, the knowledge of the curve $\bar{g}(t)$ transforming the curve $a(t)$ into $a'(t)$ provides us the solution curve $g(t)$.

Therefore we begin with a curve $a'(t)$ in a solvable Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and using (18), with curves in a restricted family of curves in $SU(2)$, we relate it to other possible curves $a(t)$, finding in this way a family of equations in $SU(2)$, and thus spin Schrödinger equations in $\mathcal{H}$, that can be exactly solved.

Suppose we put some restrictions in the family of curves used in the system differential equations (18), for instance we choose $b = 0$. As a consequence, there are instances for which this system of differential equation does not admit any solution and it is not possible to connect the curves $a(t)$ and $a'(t)$ by a curve satisfying the assumed restrictions. Thus this gives rise to some compatibility conditions for the existence of one of these special

solutions, either algebraic and/or differential ones, between the t -dependent coefficients of $a'(t)$ and $a(t)$. It is shown later on that such restrictions correspond to some integrability conditions that describes some exactly solvable models proposed in the literature. So, our approach is useful to provide exactly integrable models found in the literature.

The two main ingredients to be taken into account in what follows are:

1. *The equations which are characterised by a curve $a'(t)$ for which the solution can be obtained.* We always suppose that $a'(t)$ is related to a onedimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.
2. *The restriction on the set of curves considered as solutions of the equation (21).* In next sections we look for solutions of (21) related to curves in a one-parameter subset of $SU(2)$.

Consider the next example of our theory: suppose we try to connect any $a(t)$ with a final family $a'(t)$ of curves of the form $a'(t) = D(t)(c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + c_3 a_3)$, with c_i real numbers. In this way, the system of differential equations (21) describing the curve $\bar{g}(t) \subset SU(2)$ which connects these curves reads:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k(t) N_k(x) + D(t) \sum_{k=1}^3 c_k N'_k(x) = N(t, x). \quad (19)$$

Now, as the vector field

$$N' = \sum_{k=1}^3 c_k N'_k,$$

is such that

$$[N_k, N'] = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

the Lie system (19) is related to a Lie algebra of vector fields isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$. As this Lie system has a non-solvable Vessiot-Guldberg Lie algebra of vector fields, it is not integrable by quadratures and the solution cannot be easily found in the general case. Nevertheless, it is worthy to remark that (19) has always a solution.

In this way we can consider some instances of (19) for which the resulting system of differential equations can be integrated by quadratures. We can consider that x is related to a one-parameter family of elements of $SU(2)$.

Such a restriction implies that (19) has not always a solution because sometimes it is not possible to connect $a(t)$ and $a'(t)$ by means of the chosen one-parameter family. This fact imposes differential and algebraic restrictions to the initial t -dependent functions b_k , with $k = 1, 2, 3$. These restrictions will describe previously known integrability conditions and other new ones. So, we can develop the ideas of [8, 9] in the framework of Quantum Mechanics. Moreover, from this viewpoint we can find new integrability conditions that can be used to obtain exact solutions.

7 Application of integrability conditions in a $SU(2)$ Schrödinger equation.

In this Section we restrict ourselves to the case $a'(t) = -D(t)a_3$, i.e.

$$b'_1(t) = 0, \quad b'_2(t) = 0, \quad b'_3(t) = D(t). \quad (20)$$

Hence, the system of differential equations (17) describing the curves $\bar{g}$ relating a Schrödinger equation to $H'(t) = D(t)S_z$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{y}_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b_2 & -b_3 + D & -b_1 \\ b_2 & 0 & -b_1 & b_3 + D \\ b_3 - D & b_1 & 0 & -b_2 \\ b_1 & -b_3 - D & b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

We see that according to the result of Theorem 1 the t -dependent vector field corresponding to such a system of differential equations can be written as a linear combination with t -dependent coefficients of the vector fields N_1, N_2, N_3 and N'_3 :

$$N(t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k(t)N_k + D(t)N'_3.$$

Thus the system (21) is associated with a Lie algebra of vector fields isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$. This Lie algebra is simpler than the initial one (17), but it is not solvable and the system is as difficult to be solved as the initial Schrödinger equation. Therefore in order to get exactly solvable cases we need to perform once again some kind of simplification, i.e. by means of some extra conditions on the variables. This means that the obtained system

of differential equation may have no solution with the additional conditions imposed. The necessary and sufficient conditions required to the initial and final t -dependent functions in order to be able to obtain a solution compatible with the assumed restrictions give rise to integrability conditions for spin Hamiltonians.

Suppose for instance that we impose the solutions to be in the one-parameter subset $A_\gamma \subset SU(2)$ given by

$$A_\gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\gamma}{2} & -e^{-bi} \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ e^{bi} \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} & \cos \frac{\gamma}{2} \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}, \quad (22)$$

where γ is a fixed real constant such that $\gamma \neq 2\pi n$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, because in such a case $A_\gamma = \pm I$. In view of the definition of the sets A_γ and in terms of the parametrisation (14) we have

$$x_1 = \cos \frac{\gamma}{2}, \quad y_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = -\sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \cos b, \quad y_2 = \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin b.$$

The elements of A_γ are matrices in $SU(2)$ and the system of differential equations we obtain reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ 0 \\ \dot{y}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b_2 & -b_3 + D & -b_1 \\ b_2 & 0 & -b_1 & b_3 + D \\ b_3 - D & b_1 & 0 & -b_2 \\ b_1 & -b_3 - D & b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

and then we get as two integrability conditions for the system (23):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -b_2 x_2 - b_1 y_2, \\ 0 &= (b_3 - D) x_1 + b_1 x_2 - b_2 y_2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We can write the components $(B_x(t), B_y(t), B_z(t))$ of the magnetic field in polar coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} B_x(t) &= B(t) \sin \theta(t) \cos \phi(t), \\ B_y(t) &= B(t) \sin \theta(t) \sin \phi(t), \\ B_z(t) &= B(t) \cos \theta(t), \end{aligned}$$

with $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ and $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$.

The first algebraic integrability condition reads in polar coordinates as follows:

$$B(t) \sin \theta(t) \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} (\cos \phi(t) \sin b(t) - \sin \phi(t) \cos b(t)) = 0$$

and thus

$$B(t) \sin \theta(t) \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin(b(t) - \phi(t)) = 0,$$

from where we see that $b(t) = \phi(t)$. In such a case the second algebraic integrability condition in (24) reduces to

$$(B_z - D) \cos \frac{\gamma}{2} - B \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin \theta = 0$$

and then the t -dependent coefficient D is

$$D = \frac{B}{\cos \frac{\gamma}{2}} \cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} + \theta \right). \quad (25)$$

Finally we have to take into account the differential integrability condition

$$\dot{x}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(b_2 \cos \frac{\gamma}{2} + (b_3 + D) \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin b \right),$$

which after some algebraic manipulation leads to

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{B}{2} \left(\frac{\sin(\theta + \frac{\gamma}{2})}{\sin \frac{\gamma}{2}} + \frac{\cos(\frac{\gamma}{2} + \theta)}{\cos \frac{\gamma}{2}} \right),$$

and then

$$\dot{\phi} = B \frac{\sin(\theta + \gamma)}{\sin \gamma}, \quad (26)$$

which is a far larger set of integrable Hamiltonians than the one of the exactly solvable Hamiltonians of this type found in the literature. As a particular example, when θ and B are constants we find

$$\dot{\phi} = B \frac{\sin(\theta + \gamma)}{\sin \gamma} \equiv \omega, \quad (27)$$

and consequently,

$$\phi = \omega t + \phi_0.$$

In this way, we get that the t -dependent spin Hamiltonian $H(t)$ determined by the magnetic vector field

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = B(\sin \theta \cos(\omega t), \sin \theta \sin(\omega t), \cos \theta)$$

is integrable.

Another interesting integrable case is that given by $\theta = \pi/2$, that is, the magnetic field moves in the XZ plane, see [20, 25]. In such a case, in view of the integrability conditions (27), the angular frequency $\dot{\phi}$ reads

$$\dot{\phi} = -B \cotan \gamma = \omega.$$

The last one of the most known integrable cases, that given by a magnetic field in a fixed direction $\mathbf{B}(t) = B(t)(\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$, satisfies the integrability condition (26) for $\gamma = -\theta$.

Apart from the previous cases, the integrability condition (26) describes more, as far as we know new, integrable cases. For instance, consider the case with θ fixed and B non-constant. In this case, the corresponding $H(t)$ is integrable if

$$\frac{\dot{\phi}}{B} = \frac{\sin(\theta + \gamma)}{\sin \gamma},$$

that is, if we fix $\gamma = \pi/2$ we have that

$$\omega = \dot{\phi} = -B(t) \cos \theta \implies \phi(t) = -\cos \theta \int^t B(t') dt'.$$

Furthermore, we can consider $\theta(t) = t$ and B constant. In this case, if we fix $\gamma = -\pi/2$, we get that the t -dependent Hamiltonian $H(t)$ is integrable if the $\phi(t)$ for $\mathbf{B}(t)$ holds the condition

$$\dot{\phi} = -B \cos t \implies \phi(t) = -B \sin t.$$

To sum up, we have shown that there exists a complete family of t -dependent integrable spin Hamiltonians much broader than the until now known integrable cases. It is also easy to verify if a t -dependent spin Hamiltonian holds the integrability condition (26) and then it can be integrated.

8 Applications in Physics.

In this section we use the results of the previous Section in order to solve a t -dependent spin Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = \mathbf{B}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S},$$

which appears broadly Physics: that one characterised by the particular magnetic fields

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = B(\sin \theta \cos(\omega t), \sin \theta \sin(\omega t), \cos \theta), \quad (28)$$

that is, magnetic fields with a constant modulus rotating along the OZ axis with a constant angular velocity ω . Such Hamiltonians have been applied, for instance, to analyse the precession of a spin in a transverse t -dependent magnetic field [15], investigate the adiabatic approximation and the unitarity of the t -evolution operator through such an approximation [16, 17], etc.

In the previous Section we showed that this t -dependent Hamiltonian is integrable. Indeed, the integrability condition (27) can be written as

$$\tan \gamma = \frac{\sin \theta}{\frac{\dot{\phi}}{B} - \cos \theta}, \quad (29)$$

where we recall that γ must be a real constant. In the case of our particular magnetic field (28) the angular frequency, $\omega = \dot{\phi}$, the angle θ and the modulus B are constants. Therefore γ is a properly defined constant, the integrability condition (27) holds and the value of γ is given by equation (29) in terms of the parameters B , θ and ω describing the magnetic vector field.

We have already shown that whether $B(t)$ satisfies (27) then $H(t)$ is integrable because it can be transformed by means of a t -dependent change of variables in $\mathcal{H}$ induced by a curve $g(t)$ in the set A_γ into a straightforwardly integrable Schrödinger equation determined by a t -dependent Hamiltonian $H'(t) = D(t)S_z$. For the sake of simplicity let us parametrise the elements of A_γ in a new way. Consider that being $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ and $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ with the matrices σ_i the Pauli matrices σ_x, σ_y and σ_z , we have

$$e^{i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n} \phi} = I \cos \phi + i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n} \sin \phi.$$

So, for $\vec{n} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0)/\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}$ with real constants α_1, α_2 and taking into account that $a_1 = i\sigma_x/2, a_2 = i\sigma_y/2$ and $a_3 = i\sigma_z/2$, we get that

$$\exp(\alpha_1 a_1 + \alpha_2 a_2) = \exp(i\frac{\delta}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\delta}{2} & -e^{-i\varphi} \sin \frac{\delta}{2} \\ e^{i\varphi} \sin \frac{\delta}{2} & \cos \frac{\delta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

with $\delta = \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}$ and $e^{-i\varphi} = (\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2)/\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}$. In terms of δ and φ the variables α_1 and α_2 can be written $\alpha_1 = \delta \sin \varphi$ and $\alpha_2 = -\delta \cos \varphi$.

Hence, in view of (30), we see that we can describe the elements of A_γ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\gamma}{2} & -e^{-bi} \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ e^{bi} \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} & \cos \frac{\gamma}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\gamma \sin b \mathbf{a}_1 - \gamma \cos b \mathbf{a}_2), \quad (31)$$

where b and γ are real constants. For magnetic vector fields (28), the t -dependent change of variables transforming the initial $H(t)$ into an integrable $H'(t) = D(t)S_z$ is determined by a curve in A_γ with γ determined by the equation (29) and $b(t) = \phi(t)$. Thus, such a curve in A_γ has the form

$$t \mapsto \exp(\gamma \sin(\omega t) \mathbf{a}_1 - \gamma \cos(\omega t) \mathbf{a}_2). \quad (32)$$

We emphasise that the above t -dependent change of variables in $SU(2)$ transforms the equation in $SU(2)$ determined by the initial curve

$$\mathbf{a}(t) = -B_x(t)\mathbf{a}_1 - B_y(t)\mathbf{a}_2 - B_z(t)\mathbf{a}_3,$$

into and a new equation in $SU(2)$ determined by a curve $\mathbf{a}'(t) = -D(t)\mathbf{a}_3$. Such a t -dependent transformation in $SU(2)$ induces a t -dependent unitary change of variables in $\mathcal{H}$ transforming the initial Schrödinger equation determined by the t -dependent Hamiltonian $H(t)$, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -iH(t)(\psi),$$

into the new Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial \psi'}{\partial t} = -iH'(t)(\psi') = -iD(t)S_z(\psi'). \quad (33)$$

being the relation between ψ and ψ' given by the corresponding t -dependent change of variables in $\mathcal{H}$ induced by the curve (32), i.e.

$$\psi' = \exp(\gamma \sin(\omega t) iS_x - \gamma \cos(\omega t) iS_y)\psi. \quad (34)$$

In view of expression (25), we see that

$$D = B(\cos \theta - \tan \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin \theta),$$

and from (29) and taking into account that

$$\tan \gamma = \frac{2 \tan \frac{\gamma}{2}}{1 - \tan^2 \frac{\gamma}{2}} \Rightarrow \tan \frac{\gamma}{2} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \gamma}}{\tan \gamma},$$

we obtain

$$\tan \frac{\gamma}{2} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(-\frac{\omega}{B} + \cos \theta \pm \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{B^2} - 2\frac{\omega}{B} \cos \theta + 1} \right).$$

Using this result in the latter expression for D , such a function becomes a constant which can be written in terms of the variables θ , and B as

$$D = \omega \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 - 2\omega B \cos \theta + B^2}.$$

Thus the general solution $\psi'(t)$ for the Schrödinger equation (33) with initial condition $\psi'(0)$ is

$$\psi'(t) = \exp(-itDS_z) \psi'(0).$$

And the solution for the initial Schrödinger equation with initial condition $\psi(0)$ can be obtained by inverting the t -dependent change of variables (34) to get

$$\psi(t) = \exp(-i\gamma \sin \omega t S_x + i\gamma \cos \omega t S_y) \exp(-iDtS_z) \psi(0).$$

9 Conclusions and Outlook

We have shown that some previous results of the theory of integrability conditions developed in [9] are straightforwardly applicable to a larger set than Riccati equations. Indeed, we have shown that these results can be applied to Schrödinger equations on infinite-dimensional manifolds in order to obtain non-trivial exactly solvable t -dependent quantum Hamiltonians that are used in many fields of Physics.

As a consequence of this it is clear that it is possible to generalise the procedure shown in [9] to a more general framework and this fact is worthy of a deeper study.

Acknowledgements

Partial financial support by research projects MTM2006-10531 and E24/1 (DGA) are acknowledged. JdL also acknowledges a F.P.U. grant from Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia.

References

- [1] S. Lie and G. Scheffers, *Vorlesungen über continuierliche Gruppen mit geometrischen und anderen Anwendungen*, Edited and revised by G. Scheffers, Teubner, Leipzig (1893).
- [2] J.F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, *Superposition rules, Lie theorem and partial differential equations*, Rep. Math. Phys. **60**, 237–258 (2007).
- [3] P. Winternitz, *Lie groups and solutions of nonlinear differential equations*, in *Nonlinear Phenomena*, K.B. Wolf Ed., Lecture Notes in Physics **189**, Springer-Verlag N.Y (1983).
- [4] N.H. Ibragimov, *Elementary Lie group analysis and ordinary differential equations*, J. Wiley, Chichester, (1999).
- [5] J.F. Cariñena, J. de Lucas and A. Ramos, *A geometric approach to time evolution operators in Quantum Mechanics*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **48**, 1279–1404 (2009).
- [6] J.F. Cariñena and A. Ramos, *Lie systems and Connections in fibre bundles: Applications in Quantum Mechanics*, 9th Int. Conf. Diff.Geom and Appl., 437–452 (2004), J. Bures et al. eds, Matfyzpress, Prague, 2005.
- [7] J.F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski and A. Ramos, *Reduction of t-dependent systems admitting a superposition principle*, Acta Appl. Math. **66**, 67–87 (2001).
- [8] J.F. Cariñena, J. de Lucas and A. Ramos, *A geometric approach to the integrability conditions for Riccati Equations*, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns **122**, 1–14 (2007).
- [9] J.F. Cariñena, J. de Lucas and M.F. Rañada, *Lie systems and integrability conditions for t-dependent frequency harmonic oscillators*, preprint 2009.
- [10] Y. Aharanov and J. Anandan, *Phase change during a cyclic quantum evolution*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1593–1596 (1987).

- [11] K. Yu. Bliokh, *On spin evolution in a t -dependent magnetic field: post-adiabatic corrections and geometric phases*, Phys. Lett. A **372**, 204–209 (2008).
- [12] A.C. Aguiar Pinto, M.C. Nemes, J.G. Peixoto de Faria and M.T. Thomaz, *Comment on the Adiabatic Condition*, Amer. J. Phys. **68**, 955–958 (2000).
- [13] F.L. Yan, B.Z. Li and J.Q. Liang, *Aharonov-Anandan phase for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle in the periodic t -dependent magnetic field*, Nuovo Cim. **112**, 1519–1524 (1997).
- [14] J. Zhou and C. Ye, *Rotation-operator approach and spin dynamics in a t -varying magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 1903–1905 (1994).
- [15] J. Schwinger, *On nonadiabatic processes in inhomogeneous fields*, Phys. Rev. **51**, 648–651 (1937).
- [16] A.K. Pati and A.K. Rajagopal, *Inconsistences of the adiabatic theorem and the Berry phases*, Phys. Rev. **51**, 648–651 (1937).
- [17] K.P. Marzlin and B.C. Sanders, *Inconsistency in the application of the adiabatic theorem*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 160408 (2004).
- [18] C.-T. Xu and J.-Q. Liang, *Dynamics and geometric phase of two spins with exchange coupling in a rotating magnetic field*, Phys. Lett. A **356**, 206–209 (2006).
- [19] M. Kuna and J. Naudts, *On the von Neumann equation with time-dependent Hamiltonian. Part I: Method*, arXiv:0805.4487v1.
- [20] M. Kuna and J. Naudts, *On the von Neumann equation with time-dependent Hamiltonian. Part II: Applications*, arXiv:0805.4488v1.
- [21] D.J. Fernández and O. Rosas-Ortiz, *Inverse techniques and evolution of spin-1/2 systems*, Phys. Lett. A **236**, 275–279 (1997).
- [22] J.F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, *Some applications in physics of differential equation systems admitting a superposition rule*, Rep. Math. Phys. **48**, 47–58 (2001).

- [23] A. Kriegl and P. Michor, *The convenient setting for global analysis*, AMS, 1997.
- [24] L.J. Boya, J.F. Cariñena and J.M. Gracia-Bondía, *Symplectic structure of the Aharonov-Anandan geometric phase*, Phys. Lett. A **161**, 30–34 (1991).
- [25] K.Y. Bliokh, *On spin evolution in a time-dependent magnetic field: Post-adiabatic corrections and geometric phases*, Phys. Lett. A **372**, 204–209 (2008).